


# Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Staff Policy

Policy document control box	
Policy title	Dealing with Allegations of Abuse Against Staff
Policy owner (including job title)	RBET-Aylesbury
Version	1
RBET-Aylesbury approving body	Red Balloon Educational Trust
Date of meeting when version approved	22 July 2024
Signed:  Date: 6 <sup>th</sup> August 2024	
Date of next review	September 2025

Policy contents	
• Purpose	2
• Scope	2
• Principles	2
• Duties as an employer	3
• Process	3
• Communication	5
• Suspension	5
• Conclusion of a case	5
• Reporting outcomes to external agencies	6
• Confidentiality	7
• Legislation and guidance that informs this document	7
• Other RBET-Aylesbury policies to be read in conjunction with this one	7

# Purpose

RBET-Aylesbury acknowledges the importance of protecting all members of its communities (students and staff) from malpractice or false allegations. The following policy reflects the requirements of the DfE Guidance provided in Keeping Children Safe in Education (Sept 2024).

RBET-Aylesbury seeks to ensure the highest levels of practice in all areas of its work. In pursuing that aim, we encourage anyone who has concerns about practice to raise them. Where the raising of such concerns is so serious that it suggests intended malpractice on the part of a staff member, then RBET-Aylesbury strives to investigate carefully with due regard to the needs of the complainant(s) and the person against whom the allegation is made.

# Scope

It is the responsibility of all managers in RBET-Aylesbury to ensure that any allegations of abuse are dealt with objectively and in accordance with this policy.

# Principles

The procedures for dealing with allegations need to be applied with common sense and judgement.

RBET-Aylesbury recognises the importance of having procedures for dealing with allegations. We seek to give all staff and volunteers an understanding of what to do if they receive an allegation against another member of staff or they themselves have concerns about the behaviour of another member of staff.

- RBET-Aylesbury is committed to ensuring the health, welfare and safety of all members of its community (staff, volunteers and students).
- As part of that commitment, RBET-Aylesbury will ensure that no person who behaves in an unsuitable, inappropriate or criminal fashion towards young people is allowed to work within the organisation.
- Allegations that are found to be malicious will be removed from personnel records; any that are unsubstantiated, unfounded or malicious, will not be referred to in employer references.
- In those cases where students are found to have made Aylesbury will ensure that no person who behaves in an unsuitable, inappropriate or criminal fashion towards young people is allowed to work within the organisation.
- All allegations must be reported as soon as they become apparent - in the first instance to the Head of Centre or, should the allegation concern the Head of Centre, to a senior manager at RBET. Any allegation concerning any member of RBET head office (the organisation's management body) should be made directly to the Chair of Trustees.
- In response to an allegation, all other options should be considered before suspending a member of staff: suspension should not be the default option. An individual should be suspended only if there is no reasonable alternative.
- Every attempt should be made to maintain confidentiality.
- It is in everyone's interest to resolve cases as quickly as possible.
- Investigations must be fair and thorough.

- The fact that a person tenders their resignation or ceases to provide their services must not prevent an allegation being followed up in accordance with these procedures.
- Allegations that are found to be malicious will be removed from personnel records; any that are unsubstantiated, unfounded or malicious, will malicious allegations, consideration will be given as to whether they can remain within the RBET-Aylesbury community. If it is believed that a criminal offence has been committed by the student making the allegation, then further action may be taken.

## Duties as an employer

RBET-Aylesbury recognizes that it has a duty of care to all its employees, and will, therefore, ensure that effective support is provided for anyone facing an allegation and provide the employee with a named contact if they are suspended.

This policy concerns the management of cases of allegations that might indicate that a person is unsuitable to continue to work with children in their present position, or in any capacity. The policy will be followed in any case wherein it is alleged that any member of staff (including volunteers) is alleged to have:

- behaved in a way that has harmed, or may have harmed, a child;
- committed a criminal offence against or related to a child;
- behaved towards a child or children in a way that indicates they are unsuitable to work with children.

In any such case, RBET-Aylesbury management will ensure that the allegation is dealt with quickly, in a fair and consistent way that provides effective protection for the child, and, at the same time, appropriately supports the person who is the subject of the allegation.

## Process

Any person (student, staff, volunteer) working at RBET-Aylesbury should raise a concern about the practice of a member of staff or volunteer if they believe that something that that member of staff has done, or is doing, is strongly contrary to RBET-Aylesbury practice, or, conceivably, constitutes something that will lead to disciplinary or criminal action being taken. In particular, they must raise a concern if they believe that any behaviour is a threat to a student's or students' safety.

Once an allegation has been made, the person to whom it is made must consider the nature of the alleged 'offence'.

In considering each allegation (throughout the process) the following 'categories of conclusion' should be considered:

- Substantiated** – there appears to be sufficient identifiable evidence to prove the allegation.
- False** – there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation.
- Malicious** - there is clear evidence to prove there has been a deliberate act to deceive and the allegation is entirely false.
- Unfounded** – there is no evidence or proper basis that supports the allegation being made. This conclusion might also indicate that the person making the allegation misinterpreted the incident or was mistaken about what they saw. Alternatively they may not have been aware of all the circumstances.
- Unsubstantiated** - this is not the same as a false allegation. It means that there is

insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The term, therefore, does not imply guilt or innocence.

Any allegation against a member of staff must always be discussed with a designated officer working for the Local Safeguarding Children Partnership (LSCP).

1. If the allegation is deemed to describe behaviour that is contrary to good child protection practice or constitutes abuse, or to be of a criminal nature, then the local authority officer will direct what action should be taken.
2. If it is deemed by the officer not to be criminal and not to pose physical or emotional harm to a student, then internal action will be taken to ensure that the issue is addressed. This will entail a process whereby the details of the allegation are discussed with the relevant member of staff and an agreement is reached regarding future practice to ensure that it accords with requirements stated within RBET-Aylesbury's 'Safeguarding Policy' and 'Staff Code of Conduct'.

RBET-Aylesbury is committed to ensuring that all allegations are followed up and taken seriously. It is equally committed to ensuring that each case is considered fairly and that, in any case wherein it is considered that an allegation has been made wrongly, unfairly or maliciously, then no damage is done to the standing or career of the person against whom the allegation was made.

Once the Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO) has been contacted they will discuss the allegation with the Head of Centre (or whoever makes the contact) to confirm details of the allegation and establish that it is not demonstrably false or unfounded. This information sharing will be, in the first instance, on an informal basis. The LADO will make an informed decision regarding the necessity of any further action, e.g. whether there is a need for a formal strategy meeting, and who needs to be made aware of the allegation (possibly the chair of governors, parents or the accused). Each case brought to the LADO is likely to be different and therefore may result in a different process being taken. Should a criminal offence be deemed to have been committed following discussing with the local authority officer, then the matter will be referred to the police.

If there is cause to suspect that a child is suffering or is likely to suffer significant harm, or a possible criminal offence might have been committed, the local authority will request that a strategy meeting be convened immediately. The Head of Centre (or other person leading the investigation if it concerns the Head of Centre or member of RBET), after discussion with the LADO, will inform the accused person about the allegation as soon as possible. Where a strategy discussion is needed, or police or children's social care may need to be involved, the Head of Centre will be advised not to inform the accused person until those agencies have been consulted and have agreed what information can be disclosed to the person.

A strategy meeting will be convened when an allegation is made against an individual who works with children and that allegation is considered to be substantiated and of sufficient severity. This meeting will include senior representatives from health, police, the local authority, and legal services as well as the coordinator or the line manager of the accused. The meeting will normally be convened within three working days of the decision to meet being taken.

The strategy meeting will focus on the suspected or actual risk to children posed by the adult about whom there are concerns raised or allegations made. In a strategy discussion, or during the initial evaluation of the case, the agencies concerned will share all relevant information they have about the person who has made the allegation and about the accused member of staff. An agreement will be reached in the strategy meeting regarding who will carry out an investigation and how this will take place, if it is deemed necessary. This will include consideration of the need to undertake:

- a child protection investigation,
- a criminal investigation,
- fact finding under the complaints procedure.

Consideration will be given as to whether the accused member of staff needs to be suspended from contact with children. In line with current guidance this is not the default position – a ‘sensible’ and ‘reasonable’ decision will be reached. Further consideration will be given, if necessary, to the relevant support mechanisms made available to the child or children against whom the alleged offences have been committed and to the accused member of staff.

## Communication

The Head of Centre will inform the parents<sup>1</sup> of a child or children involved about the allegation (if they are not already aware of it) as soon as possible after discussing the allegation with the local authority officer.

The parents will be kept informed regarding the progress of the case by the Head of Centre, and told the outcome where there is not a criminal prosecution, including the outcome of any disciplinary process (NB the deliberations of a disciplinary hearing, and the information taken into account in reaching a decision cannot normally be disclosed, but the parents of the child should be told the outcome). The content and discussion may be agreed between the Head of Centre and the LADO. The Head of Centre will also keep the accused member of staff informed of the progress of the case and consider what other support is appropriate for the individual. The LADO will discuss with the Head of Centre how best to inform the individual of any updates.

## Suspension

At any stage throughout the process, the accused member of staff may be suspended, without prejudice (this is a neutral act, and conveys no indication of ‘guilt’), on full pay from work, whilst further investigations take place. This course of action will be appropriate where any one of the following pertains:

- there is a cause to suspect a child is at risk of significant harm;
- the allegation warrants investigation by the police;
- the allegation is so serious that it might be grounds for dismissal.

## Conclusion of a case

If the police elect to pursue criminal proceedings, then, should the person against whom the allegation has been made, be found guilty, the court will decide the appropriate action to be taken in legal terms: in employment terms, the person’s contract will be terminated immediately. The police will ensure that the person’s name (if appropriate) is placed on any necessary lists (e.g. barred list, prohibited from teaching list) and that records are maintained so that, should the person seek further employment at a future date, the necessary information will be provided to any prospective employer.

If the investigation is of a non-criminal nature, the outcome of the process will be shared with the parents of the child or children concerned after taking advice from the LADO. The employee will be made aware of the outcome and of any action points agreed as a result of

---

<sup>1</sup> Wherever the term “parent” is used in the policy, it means any person with parental responsibility for the young person.

the investigation. The LADO will discuss with the Head of Centre whether a referral should be made to the DfE for consideration of 'barred list' action, or to any other pertinent agencies.

Where, on conclusion of an investigation, it is decided that a person who has been suspended can return to work, the Head of Centre will consider how best to facilitate that.

Following any disciplinary action, a comprehensive summary of any allegations made, details of how the allegation was followed up and resolved, and a note of any action taken and decisions reached, will be kept on the employee's file, and a copy provided to the employee concerned. The purpose of the record is to enable accurate information to be given in response to any future request for a reference if the person has 'moved on'.

Information will also be held on a database that can only be accessed by LADO. The database will provide clarification in cases where a future DBS disclosure reveals information from the police about an allegation that did not result in a criminal conviction. This course of action will help to prevent unnecessary re-investigation if, as sometimes happens, an allegation re-surfaces after a period of time. The record will show what action was taken, and, if appropriate, that the allegation was unfounded. The record should be retained at least until the person has reached normal retirement age, or for a period of 10 years from the date of the allegation whichever is longer.

## Reporting outcomes to external agencies

RBET-Aylesbury will report promptly to the DBS any person (whether employed, contracted, a volunteer or student) whose services are no longer used for regulated activity and the DBS referral criteria are met, that is, they have caused harm or posed a risk of harm to a child.

RBET-Aylesbury may have ceased to use a person's services through:

- dismissal;
- non-renewal of a fixed-term contract;
- no longer engaging/refusing to engage a supply teacher provided by an employment agency;
- terminating the placement of a student teacher or other trainee;
- no longer using staff employed by contractors;
- no longer using volunteers;
- resignation;
- voluntary withdrawal from supply teaching, contract working, a course of initial teacher training, or volunteering.

Any report made will include as much evidence about the circumstances of the case as possible. Failure to make a report when required constitutes an offence. 'Compromise agreements' cannot be used to prevent a referral being made to the DBS when it is legally required, nor can an individual's refusal to cooperate with an investigation.

The proprietors of RBET-Aylesbury have a legal duty to respond to requests from the DBS for information they hold already, but they do not have to find it from other sources.

RBET-Aylesbury managers are also under a duty to consider making a referral to the TRA (Teaching Regulation Agency) where a teacher has been dismissed (or would have been dismissed had s/he not resigned) and a prohibition order may be appropriate. The reasons such an order would be considered are: 'unacceptable professional conduct', 'conduct that may bring the profession into disrepute' or a 'conviction, at any time, for a relevant offence'. Managers should refer to 'Teacher misconduct: the prohibition of teachers' (October 2015) or the TRA website for guidance as to when such referral is necessary.

# Confidentiality

All allegations will be treated seriously and with discretion. Proceedings and records in connection with the allegation will be kept as confidential as possible, but employees must appreciate that formal investigations cannot always be kept on an entirely confidential basis.

## Legislation and guidance that informs this policy

- The Children Act (1989)
- Section 175 of the Education Act (2002 ) (local authorities, governing bodies of maintained schools and institutions in the further education sector)
- Section 157 of the Education Act (2002) and the Education (Independent School Standards) (England) Regulations (2010, updated March 2016)
- The Children Act 2004
- Section 11 of the Children Act (2004) (other agencies)
- Sections 141F, 141G and 141H3 of the Education Act (2002)
- Teacher Misconduct: the prohibition of teachers (Oct 2015)
- Keeping Children Safe in Education (Sept 2022)

## Other RBET-Aylesbury policies to be read in conjunction with this one

- Staff Code of Conduct
- Complaints
- Disciplinary Policy
- Whistleblowing
- Safeguarding and Child Protection
-