

Policy title	Exams contingency
Policy owner (including job title)	Louise Fisher (Headteacher)
Version	1.03
RBET - Norfolk approving body	Red Balloon Educational Trust
Date of meeting when version approved	22 July 2024
Date of next review	October 2025

Policy contents:	
Purpose of the plan	2
Causes of potential disruption to the exam process	2

Purpose of the plan

This plan examines potential risks and issues that could cause disruption to RBET - Norfolk. By outlining actions/procedures to be followed in case of disruption, it is intended to mitigate the impact these disruptions have on our exam process.

Alongside internal processes, this plan is informed by information contained in the ***Joint contingency plan for the examination system in England, Wales and Northern Ireland*** where it is stated that *‘As part of their general planning for emergencies, centres should cover the impact on examinations. The responsibility for deciding whether it is safe for a centre to open lies with the head of centre. The head is responsible for taking advice or following instructions from relevant local or national agencies in deciding whether their centre is able to open.’*

Causes of potential disruption to the exam process

1. Examinations Officer extended absence at key points in the exam process:

In a period of extended absence, the Deputy or Headteacher would take responsibility for carrying out the duties of the Examinations Officer. All members of the invigilating team regularly receive training and colleagues involved in the administration of key processes are trained on how to carry out processes and who to contact in extremis.

2. SENCo extended absence at key points in the exam cycle:

In the event of the extended absence of the SENCo, appropriate backfilling of responsibilities would be decided upon by the Headteacher or Deputy Head..

3. Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle:

In the event of a period of extended absence of a member of the teaching staff, the Headteacher would arrange teaching cover from within the staff team and/or the wider staffing establishment at the Centre. New staff providing cover would be briefed as part of their induction process on arrangements for assessment and examinations. Their practice in terms of assessments would be monitored by the Headteacher.

4. Invigilators - lack of appropriately trained invigilators or invigilator absence

Within the wider school staff, colleagues are required to assist during main exam sessions and are trained by the Examinations Officer regarding their responsibilities and duties. Minor absence issues (such as an invigilator calling in sick) are covered by a member of the staff team.

5. Exam rooms - lack of appropriate rooms or main venues unavailable at short notice

The examinations are accommodated within the Centre. If the Centre were to be evacuated at short notice, we would accommodate students in a vacant classroom at an alternative Red Balloon site or at RB of the Air in Milton. If the examination had already begun, students would be escorted under close supervision to maintain exam conditions.

6. Failure of ICT systems:

RBET-Norfolj endeavours to minimise any ICT disruption via resilient design and preventative maintenance. In the event of an issue, this would be dealt with by the ICT support team who test and update the systems on a regular basis. Where such failure impacted on scheduled exams, steps would be taken to resolve the problem as quickly as possible.

7. Centre unable to open as normal during the exams period:

In the event of the Centre not being able to open as normal, appropriate communication with the relevant Awarding Bodies would be undertaken by the Examinations Officer and alternative options would be explored, such as moving exams to an external location. All arrangements would be agreed with the Awarding Body before being put in place. In such instances, details would be communicated to candidates via the school's website, email and text facilities. Staff involved in exams (including invigilators) would be contacted by email, telephone and text.

8. Candidates unable to take examinations because of a crisis – Centre remains open:

Response is dependent on the type of issue. The procedure for absence is outlined in the Examinations policy. If a candidate is able to sit the exam but cannot attend the Centre due to a crisis, appropriate communication with the relevant Awarding Bodies would be undertaken by the Examinations Officer and alternative options would be explored (home, hospital, alternative centre etc.). Appropriate use of Special Consideration policies would be applied should the candidate(s) be unable to attend due to unforeseen circumstances and where alternative arrangements could not be made or are not agreed by the Awarding Body.

9. Disruption to the transportation of completed examination scripts:

All scripts are returned using the designated dispatch methods prescribed by the Awarding Body concerned. Where this becomes unavailable or inappropriate, the Awarding Body will be contacted to discuss suitable alternatives.

10. Assessment evidence is not available to be marked:

In the event of largescale damage or destruction of completed examination scripts/ assessment evidence before it can be marked, the Examinations Officer would notify the Awarding Body immediately for advice and further instructions. Student marks would be submitted based on appropriate evidence and candidates would be given the opportunity to retake in a subsequent series.

11. Centre unable to distribute results as normal:

The Centre distributes the results 'as normal' via collection by learner. Where learners do not collect results in person, these will be distributed via first-class post.