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# Purpose

RBET Aylesbury seeks to provide a high-quality learning experience for all its students. We aim to practise professionally and effectively at all times but acknowledge that, on occasion, we may fail to meet our aim. Under such circumstances we want anyone who feels that the organisation or a specific member of staff has failed to perform their job in a professional manner to understand how to raise their concern, to be able to raise it without fear of negative response, and to understand how the concern will be dealt with.

# Scope

Since 1 September 2003 governing bodies of all schools in England have been required to have in place a procedure to deal with complaints relating to the school and to any community facilities or services that the school provides. The law also requires that the procedure be publicised. This policy is written to ensure that parents of students at RBET Aylesbury, or other persons who wish to raise a concern regarding provision or practice, understand how to do that.

There is a separate whistleblowing policy that staff should read and refer to should they wish to raise a concern about the practice of another member of staff.

*RBET Aylesbury has a nominated governor who is responsible for monitoring the way in which complaints are responded to.*

RBET Aylesbury understands the requirement to ensure that a complaints procedure be drawn up and effectively implemented. In order to comply with statutory requirements, the procedures:

* are made here in writing;
* are available to parents on the Red Balloon website or, on request, in writing;
* set out clear time scales for the management of a complaint;
* allow for a complaint to be made and considered initially on an informal basis.

RBET Aylesbury will ensure that a written record is kept of all complaints that proceed to the formal stage together with any action taken as a result of the complaints regardless of whether or not they are upheld. Further we will ensure that correspondence, statements and records relating to individual complaints are kept confidential except where the Secretary of State or a body conducting an inspection under section 108 requests access to them. Any findings reached or recommendations made will be available for inspection by the proprietor, the Chair of Trustees and the Head of Centre.

*NB Wherever the term “parents” is used in the policy, that means any person with parental responsibility for the student.*

# Policy statement and provision

RBET Aylesbury seeks to:

* encourage resolution of problems by informal means wherever possible;
* make procedures easily accessible;
* be impartial;
* be non-adversarial;
* allow swift handling with established time-limits for action, keeping people informed of the progress;
* ensure a full and fair investigation by an independent person where necessary;
* respect people’s desire for confidentiality;
* address all the points at issue and provide an effective response and appropriate redress, where necessary;
* provide information to the school’s senior management team so that services may be improved if appropriate.

In investigating complaints RBET Aylesbury will:

* establish what has happened so far, and who has been involved;
* clarify the nature of the complaint and what remains unresolved;
* meet with the complainant and re-contact them should clarification or further information be required;
* clarify what actions the complainant feels would put things right;
* interview those involved in the matter (including those complained of), allowing them to be accompanied if they wish;
* conduct all interviews with an open mind and be prepared to persist in the questioning;
* keep notes of interviews.

In seeking to resolve complaints, it might be sufficient to acknowledge that the complaint is valid in whole or in part. In addition, it may be appropriate to offer one or more of the following:

* an apology;
* an explanation;
* an admission that the situation could have been handled differently or better;
* an assurance that the event complained of will not recur, together with...
* an explanation of the steps that have been taken to ensure that it will not happen again;
* an undertaking to review school policies and / or practice in light of the complaint.

# Procedures

### Aims and objectives

RBET-Aylesbury seeks to be fair, open and honest when dealing with any complaint. Careful consideration is given to all complaints and we aim to deal with them as swiftly as possible. We aim to resolve any complaint through dialogue and mutual understanding and, in all cases, we put the interests of the child above all other issues. Further, we aim to provide sufficient opportunity for any complaint to be fully discussed and subsequently resolved.

### The complaints process

The process has three distinct sections:

* informal (stage 1),
* formal (stage 2),
* panel hearing (stage 3).

### Complaints procedure summary

#### Stage 1

Informal discussion with Head of Centre who will attempt to resolve the complaint if not resolved at stage 1:

#### Stage 2

Complaint becomes formal (must be in writing to the Head of Centre). If the complaint relates to the Head of Centre, then it must be written to the chair of the Local Governing Body (LGB), who will inform the Chair of Trustees of Red Balloon Educational Trust and appoint an investigating officer.

* The complaint is acknowledged within five days.
* The complaint is investigated as soon as possible but in any event within 30 working days.
* Within five working days of the investigation’s conclusion or, in exceptional circumstances, as soon as practicable for the parties, the investigating officer will attempt to resolve the complaint, providing a written summary to the complainant, Head of Centre (if appropriate), chair of the LGB and, if appropriate, the Chair of Trustees.

If not resolved at stage 2, proceed to Stage 3.

#### Stage 3

Complaint is put in writing to the Chair of Trustees.

* The complaint is acknowledged within five working days.
* The Chair of Trustees of RBET, or person delegated by them, will review the stage 2 complaint investigation and commission or conduct any additional investigation(s) as deemed appropriate.
* The above process will be conducted as soon as possible but in any event within 30 working days
* Within 10 days of the conclusion of the investigation, or, in exceptional circumstances, as soon as is practicable for all parties, a panel is held to consider the outcome of all investigations. The panel will be made up of governors who have had no previous involvement with the matter and at least one independent member. The independent panel members will provide impartial assurance that the decisions made during the proceedings are objective, reasonable, proportionate and fair. The independent panel members will have no past or current association with any part of the Red Balloon organisation.
* Within five days of the panel meeting, the outcome is provided in writing to the complainant.

***This concludes the Complaints Procedure. If unresolved, the complainant has the right to report the matter to one or more appropriate regulatory bodies, such as the Independent Schools Inspectorate (ISI), the Office for Standards in Education (Ofsted) or the Department for Education (DfE). Such a referral may instigate further investigation.***

### Stage 1 - informal

If, for example, a parent is concerned about anything to do with the education that we are providing at our Centre they should, in the first instance, either by telephone or through an arranged meeting, discuss the matter with the Head of Centre or with a nominated member of staff. Most matters of concern can be dealt with in this way. All staff work very hard to ensure that each student is well provided for at RBET-Aylesbury, is happy at RBET-Aylesbury, and is making good progress. They always want to know if there is a problem so that they can take action before the problem seriously affects student’s progress. All staff involved will listen carefully to any concern expressed, treat the complainant respectfully and make every attempt to ensure that concerns are allayed and/or the matter resolved.

The Head of Centre or the LGB will respond within three working days when a concern is raised, assuring the complainant that the complaint has been received and will be responded to, and arrange either a meeting that is mutually convenient or a time for a further telephone conversation. This conversation or meeting will be arranged within five working days of the concern being raised, unless there are exceptional circumstances.

It may be necessary to hold further meetings to ensure that the complaint has been appropriately responded to, and any improvements in practice identified as a result of the complaint are implemented.

Assuming that the parent is content that the response has been sufficient and appropriate, this will still be deemed to be an informal response.

### Stage 2 – formal

#### What to do if the matter is not resolved through informal discussion.

In any situation where a parent or other complainant feels that the complaint they have made has not been sufficiently well dealt with, or that their concern is of such a serious nature that an informal response is insufficient, then they should request that the process move to a formal investigation. In order to do this they should put their concerns into a written form (email is acceptable), submit that to the Head of Centre (or chair of the LGB in the case of complaints concerning the Head of Centre) and make an appointment to discuss it formally with the Head of Centre (or nominated member of the LGB). Appendix A provides a template for Stage 2 complaints, although other written forms of complaint are also acceptable.

#### For complaints other than those concerning the Head of Centre

The Head of Centre must acknowledge receipt of the complaint within three working days. The Head of Centre will supply the complainant with details of the complaints procedure and will then carry out an investigation regarding the complaint. This may involve interviewing staff or students, examining internet records, checking students’ work or any other activity pertinent to the complaint.

The Head of Centre must complete this process within thirty working days of receipt of the formal complaint, unless the nature of the complaint requires investigations of exceptional length and detail. They must make coherent records of their investigation and share these with the complainant at the conclusion of the investigation.

The Head of Centre will then share details of their findings in the meeting with the complainant, which should take place within five working days of the completion of the investigation. The Head of Centre will explain whether they consider the complaint to be wholly or partially legitimate or unsubstantiated and explain, if appropriate, how either practice or policy will be amended to ensure best practice in the future.

#### For complaints concerning the practice of the Head of Centre

The written (again email is acceptable) details should be submitted to the nominated member of the LGB (contact details available on the website) who is charged with managing such complaints at the formal stage. That person will then contact the complainant and investigate the complaint in the same manner as that described above when the Head of Centre investigates a complaint. Again the investigation process should be completed within thirty working days and the nominated member of the LGB will meet with the complainant to explain the outcomes of the investigation.

### Stage 3 - panel hearing

If, following the meeting with the ‘investigator’, the complainant feels that the complaint has either been not properly investigated or that the response to the complaint is unacceptable, then they should submit a further complaint to the chair of the LGB requesting further investigation. Appendix B of this policy provides a template for this type of complaint. This will lead to a panel hearing.

This complaint must be made in writing (as stated previously, email is acceptable), stating the nature of the complaint, how the Centre has handled it thus far, and whether the complaint at this point concerns:

* a perceived failure to investigate the initial complaint properly;
* a belief that the findings were correct but have not been appropriately responded to;
* a wish to contest the findings.

All records from this formal element of the process must be logged and filed and be available to the panel when a hearing is required.

The chair of the LGB must acknowledge receipt of the stage 3 complaint within three working days of receipt and supply the complainant with details of the stage 3 complaints procedure. They will then carry out further investigation regarding the complaint. They will arrange a panel meeting to consider the complaint, to take place within 10 working days of receipt of the stage 3 complaint. They will invite the person making it to attend the meeting so that they can explain the complaint in more detail. The LGB chair will give the complainant at least five working days’ notice of the meeting and explain to them that they may be accompanied by a person of their choice who is unconnected with the complaint or investigation, if they so wish.

The panel will consist of a minimum of three people who were not directly involved in the issues covered by the complaint. One of those panel members should be ‘independent of the management and the running of the school’. DfE advice is that persons suitable for this role are those “who have held a position of responsibility and are used to scrutinising evidence and putting forward balanced arguments - examples of persons likely to be suitable are serving or retired business people, civil servants, heads or senior members of staff at other schools, people with a legal background and retired members of the police force”.

After hearing all the evidence, the LGB chair will consider their decision and inform the complainant about it in writing or in a different format as requested. The findings and recommendations will be provided to the complainant and, where relevant, to the person complained about. This will be done as soon as possible but, at the latest, within ten working days from the panel hearing. The governors will do all they can at this stage to resolve the complaint to the complainant’s satisfaction, and will ensure that any action identified as required is undertaken.

At this stage the process is complete from the Centre viewpoint. Complainants do, however, have the right to contact either the DfE (Secretary of State) or the Independent Schools Inspection Service (as the inspecting agency) if they still believe that the complaint has not been satisfactorily dealt with.

### Frivolous, malicious, and/or vexatious complaints

Frivolous, malicious and/or vexatious complaints are defined as:

* complaints which are obsessive, harassing, or repetitive;
* insistence on pursuing non-meritorious complaints and/or unrealistic, unreasonable outcomes;
* insistence on pursuing what may be meritorious complaints in an unreasonable manner;
* complaints which are designed to cause disruption or annoyance;
* demands for redress which lack any serious purpose or value.

RBET-Aylesbury has a responsibility to protect its staff against unacceptable behaviour and provide a working environment that is safe, respectful and tolerant. Consequently it is expected that students, their representatives and staff members should act reasonably and fairly towards each other and treat the process with respect. Behaviour that is unreasonable, aggressive or abusive, verbal or written, will not be tolerated and may result in access to the complaints procedure or to staff connected with any complaint being limited or withdrawn.

The decision to limit or withdraw access is not taken lightly. In the event that such a decision is made, it will be notified to the complainant in writing, including the reason(s) why it has been made.

Where access to staff or to the complaints procedure is limited or withdrawn, an appeal to reinstate access may be in writing to the Chair of Trustees of RBET, who will review the decision and provide a response within five working days.

Complainants who are subject to the restrictions described above retain their right to report the matter to the appropriate regulatory body, such as the Independent Schools Inspectorate (ISI) and/or the Office for Standards in Education (Ofsted) or the Department for Education (DfE).

### Monitoring and review

The LGB monitors the complaints procedure to ensure that all complaints are handled properly. The Head of Centre ensures that all complaints received by the Centre are logged and that records are kept detailing how they were resolved. The LGB will ensure this log is subject scrutiny and monitoring.

Records of complaints are limited to those made under the formal part of the procedure. In each case the Centre will record whether the complaint has been resolved and at what stage that happened. RBET-Aylesbury will also keep a separate record of any informal complaints to enable any patterns of concern to be monitored.

Centres will also record any action taken as a result of these complaints (regardless of whether they are upheld), and ensure that correspondence, statements and records relating to individual complaints are kept confidential except where the Secretary of State or a body conducting an inspection under section 108 or 109 of the 2008 Act requests access to them.

The LGB will take into account any local or national decisions that affect the complaints process, and will ensure any necessary modifications are made to this policy.

The number of complaints registered under the formal procedure during the preceding year will be displayed on each Centre’s website.

The nominated governor who will respond to complaints is currently:

* **Carrie Herbert – Chair of Trustees of Red Balloon Educational Trust**

**Red Balloon Complaints Policy in Action**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| The Informal Stage (Stage 1) | | |
| What you should do | What we must do | What happens as a result |
| Contact the Head of Centre by phone, email, letter or in person. If the complaint is directly against the Head of Centre, your contact is the Chair of the Governing Body  Be prepared to meet with the Head of Centre (or Chair). You may bring a partner or friend.  Tell us that you wish your concern to dealt with under the Complaints Policy | Respond to your initial contact within five days  Offer you an opportunity to discuss your complaint in person, by phone or (if appropriate) by video link  Although this is an informal stage, we will make a record of the meeting and share it with you  Acknowledge that your complaint is made under our complaints policy and check you have a copy | Depending on the nature of the complaint there are a number of potential outcomes:   * a suggestion of a plan of action that resolves the issue; * a second meeting, allowing time for the Head of Centre to gather more information, at which point we may be able to suggest a plan of action that resolves the issue; * If you remain unhappy with the outcome you may decide to take your complaint to Stage 2. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The Formal Stage (Stage 2) | | | |
| What you must do | What we must do | What happens as a result |
| Put your complaint in writing to the Head of Centre (or Chair of the Local Governing Body if your concern relates to the Head of Centre)  State (in your submission) why you have been unhappy with the resolution offered in Stage 1  Engage with the person who is investigating your concern | Acknowledge your complaint within five working days  Complete an internal investigation of the matter within 30 working days  Within five days of the completion of the investigation you will be contacted, and a resolution discussed with you. *In exceptional cases, it may not be practicable to complete the investigation within this timeline. We will let you know if this is the case and explain the reasons why.*  If your complaint relates to the Head of Centre, an Investigating Officer will be appointed. | A written summary of the investigation is supplied to you, to the Head of Centre, or where the concern relates to the Head of Centre, to the Chair of the Local Governing Body. The report may also be copied to the Chair of RBET Trustees  Within five days of the completion of the written summary you will be contacted and a resolution discussed with you  The concern will be counted in the register of complaints  f you remain unhappy with the outcome you may decide to take your complaint to Stage 3 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| The Panel Hearing (Stage 3) | | |
| What you must do | What we must do | What happens as a result |
| Make your complaint in writing to the Chair of the Local Governing Body and/or the Chair of RBET Trustees  State (in your submission) why you have been unhappy with the resolution offered in Stage 2  Engage with the person who is investigating your concern | Acknowledge your complaint within five working days  The Chair will review the investigation carried out at Stage 2 and commission/conduct any further investigation that they judge is required.  This process will be completed within 30 working days. *In exceptional cases, it may not be practicable to complete the investigation within this timeline. We will let you know if this is the case and explain the reasons why.* | Within 10 days following the completion of the review (unless there are exceptional circumstances) a panel will be convened to consider the outcomes of the original process and any new information obtained from further investigation, and will establish that the process followed has complied with the Complaints Policy.  The panel will be made up of:   * senior members of the Red Balloon organisation who have had no previous dealings with the matter, and * at least one person who is totally independent of the Red Balloon organisation.   Within five days of the completion of the panel review you will be notified of the outcome  If you remain unhappy with the outcome you may decide to take your complaint to an external regulatory body such as The Independent Schools Inspectorate, Ofsted or the Department of Education. |

### Appendix A: Template for making a Stage 2 complaint

Please complete and return this form to the Head of Centre, who will acknowledge receipt and explain what action will be taken.

(Please provide as much detail as possible. If using a word processor, the boxes expand)

|  |
| --- |
| Your name: |
| Student’s name: |
| Your relationship to the student: |
| Your address:  Postcode:  Daytime telephone number:  Evening telephone number:  E-mail address: |
| Please give details of your complaint. |
| What action, if any, have you already taken to try and resolve your complaint?  (eg: who did you speak to and what was the response?) |
| What actions do you feel might resolve the problem at this stage? |
| Are you attaching any paperwork? If so, please give details. |
| Signature:  Date: |
| **Official use**  Date acknowledgement sent: …………………: By whom………………………………  Complaint referred to: Date: |

### Appendix B: Template for making a Stage 3 complaint

Please complete and return to the Chair of RBET Trustees, who will acknowledge receipt and explain what action will be taken.

(Please try to provide as much detail as possible, if using a word processor, the boxes expand)

|  |
| --- |
| Your name: |
| Student’s name: |
| Your relationship to the student: |
| Address:  Postcode:  Day time telephone number:  Evening telephone number:  E-mail address:  Which of the following best describes your reason for requesting that trustees investigate your complaint?   * *You think we have failed to properly investigate the complaint you made.* * *You think that the complaint was properly investigated, that the conclusions reached were correct but that we have not taken sufficient action to remedy the situation* * *You think that the conclusions that were reached in response to your written complaint were incorrect* |
| Please give details of your complaint. |
| What action, if any, have you already taken to try and resolve your complaint?  (eg: who did you speak to and what was the response?) |
| What actions do you feel might resolve the problem at this stage? |
| Are you attaching any paperwork? If so, please give details. |
| Signature: Date: |
| **Official use**  Date acknowledgement sent: …………………: By whom………………………………  Complaint referred to: Date: |